# Data Usage in a Population Health Project

David Hartzband, D.Sc.

Director, Technology Research

**RCHN Community Health Foundation** 

&

Research Fellow

Institute for Data, Systems & Society

Massachusetts Institute of Technology





- In xx/xxxx, the RCHN Community Health Foundation funded 7 projects at CHCs to design & carry out population health projects.
  - Funding was provided for 6 CHCs & 1 PCA
  - Projects ranged from smoking cessation to homeless care management to improving pediatric care to reduce ED usage
- One CHC, ACCESS Family Care in southwest Missouri, focused its project on integrating comprehensive diabetes care with their PCMH effort
- Debra Davidson from ACCESS will talk about this project & its data elements by fine Association of Clinicians for the Underserved Conference August 2017

#### Data Use in CHCs



- CHCs are being called upon to collect & use more & more (& more) data
- MU, MIPS & especially public & population health efforts require the acquisition, management, analysis & interpretation of substantially more data in the health center's decision process
- Use of EHR data for care planning & optimization for individual patients is well known, but...
- How is data used for population health efforts?

#### Using Data Requires Data Awarenes

- Data Awareness is required today & will be even more so in the next 3-5 years
- Being Data Aware means knowing what data your health center has available, both internal & external
- Knowing what form the data is in, including some consideration of its quality
- Knowing what analyses are available to apply to this data & how to execute these analyses
- Most importantly, knowing the strategic issues that your center needs to address & determining how the data & analyses available can facilitate the planning & strategy process





- Data awareness is, at its most basic, the knowledge of what data is available & how to analyze & interpret it so that it can be used in strategic decision making across all levels of an organization
- Data can also be used for pure exploration, that is to see if there are patterns in the data that can be associated with observations that help us improve clinical outcomes or operational efficiency
- Data awareness is not just for Executives & Managers it's for everyone in the organization, everyone should be able see data & maké observations about it.
- Data is primarily for decision making: clinical, operational, financial any decision





- Much has been made of 'Big Data' & it can be very interesting & valuable for healthcare, but... Few organizations have truly big data (>50 PBs)\*: DoD, VA, Kaiser Permanente, Partners, not many others
- It is much more valuable to have data that's relevant to the questions you're asking & to the strategic decisions you are making... regardless of how much data this is
- The real value in analysis is aligning the questions you are asking with the decisions you have to make & the strategy you are developing & following comparison the entire digitized collection of the Library of Congress is ~2PBs; a PB is ~223,000 DVDs of data

## What Data are We Talking About

- Internal Data
  - EHR & other clinical data (registry, Pharmacy, Labs, etc.)
  - Financial (cost accounting, ledgers, AP/AR)
  - Other internal ()
- External Data
  - External clinical data (HIE, ACO, eReferral, other...)
  - State public & population health data
  - Federal public & population health data (CDC, HRSA, etc.)
  - Academic public & population health data (universities, institutes, etc.)
  - Federal & State macroeconomic, demographic & population data
- Other (that we don't know yet...)

## Larger Amounts of Data Required

- Most CHCs has 5-10 GBs of EHR & up to 5 GBs of other data (financial, cost accounting, registry, pharmacy etc.)
- Most PCAs have ~250GBs of EHR data & 100GBs of other data (as above)
- Each expected to double or triple in the next 2-3 years plus need to include an additional 20-25GBs of external data (public health, macrodemographic (State & Federal), macroeconomic (State & Federal) data
- At that point, each CHC would have ~50-75 GBs of total data, while the PCA will have ~1TB (1024 GBs, 10<sup>12</sup> bytes) at this time
- In 10 years, each CHC might have ~multiple TBs & the PCA might have up to hundreds of TBs of data
- Some healthcare organizations today have multiple PBs (1024 TBs, 10<sup>15</sup> bytes): Kaiser: 40-50 PBs, Partners: 20-25 PBs
- This amount of data will be difficult to impossible to store, manage, search & analyze by current even evolved current methods

## OK – Back to Population Health



- Chronic & acute disease occurs in individuals & in populations
  - Treating individuals may optimize their specific outcome, but does not address systemic &/or population aspects
- Ideally we would use our knowledge of patterns of occurrence in populations to inform our treatment of individuals (intervention)
- There are a number of steps that enable us to get started – detailed in the next slide
- Population health is one of the MIPS quality measurement areas, so this is getting more important

## Population Health Efforts – Getting – Started

- Ensure that IT infrastructure supports external data acquisition, data sharing, very large-scale data storage (at least PB range), data query & analytics for this amount of data
- Characterize patterns of social determinants & chronic disease occurrence (analysis of EHR & sociodemographic data)
- Integrate public & population health data with clinical & sociodemographic data
  - Sources include: CDC FastTrack (diagnosis percentages, general population), CMS (iBlueButton, Medicare Limited Data Set), FDA (openFDA, FAERS), State sources
- Execute planned analyses for population health efforts in the CHCs specific data
- Plan & execute an engagement & intervention strategy





## Thanks!

Please feel free to contact us for more information

David Hartzband RCHN Community Health Foundation 55 Broadway, Suite 1502 New York, New York 10019

Phone: 617.501.4611

Email:

dhartzband@rchnfoundation.org

# Improving Patient Health Outcomes

Debra M Davidson
PhD, CHCEF, MSA, MS
Chief Operations Officer



### Why ACCESS uses data

- Grant Reporting
- HRSA Funding Application and NEEDS Assessment
- HRSA 330 annual report = UDS requirements
- Primary Care Association (MPCA) facilitated grants
  - **✓** Behavioral Health Integration
  - **✓** Women and Minority Health and Show Me Healthy Women (CDC breast and cervical cancer initiative)
  - ✓ Chronic Disease Collaborative
  - **✓** Dental Integration
  - √ Pharmacy Integration
  - ✓ Emergency Preparedness
- Other Organizations
  - ✓ Missouri Foundation for Health, MO HealthNet, DentaQuest, RCHN
- Health Outcome Improvement
- Chronic Disease s
- Cancer Screenings
- Health Maintenance
- Financial
- Patient Satisfaction
- Compliance
- Bonus Incentive



### ACCESS' Data History

- Chronic Disease Collaborative Health Outcome Improvement (through MPCA)
- ✓ 2008: ACCESS listed changes in organization structure that affected the quality improvement of chronic disease management for DM and CVD @ FQHC per clinic
  - PDSAs introduced, documentation of barriers and actions
- ✓ 2009 2010: EHR (GE Centricity) adaptation began September, 2009 one clinic at a time
  - Only had 3 medical clinics at the time of implementing EHR, 3<sup>rd</sup> clinic live Spring 2010
- ✓ 2010 2011: manual chart audits, 10 charts/qtr/provider designed to quantitatively capture related data points
  - Started with the UDS Measures and each FQHC was blinded from one another for MPCA
  - Baselines established per manual chart audits
  - Wide variations of interpretations on how to conduct the chart audits
  - Calculations were inconsistent, data definitions and numerator/denominators were confusing to untrained personnel
  - Lack of trained personnel in audit protocol and statistics
  - Unyielding reporting system within the EHR



## Mapping our data ✓ 2011: MPCA created the HCCN (Health Center Control Network)

- Data Repository developed Arcadia (later AZARA) to gather data across the Missouri FQHCs
- Designed to facilitate consistent data points based on strict criteria from the various EHR s
- Assisted with a more user-friendly reporting tool to extract data consistently across all MO FQHCs at any given time (after nightly "processing") at the FQHC's fingertips
- Determining all the places the same information was recorded and where to pull (EHR vs PM) was time consuming. Mapping everything - 'Obs' terms versus codes and other same data representations was confusing for our RN who moved into IT to help create "user friendly" forms
- We did not realize then how any of the connected systems, when updated or upgraded can break the mapping
- Later, changes in customized forms required adding and/or remapping data elements
- The HCCN prioritized quality benchmark goals, utilizing standardized references and their numerator/denominator definitions
  - ✓ HRSA, UDS
  - ✓ NQF and HP 2020
  - ✓ MPCA's interim benchmarks
  - ✓ FQHC's Individual Healthcare Plan
  - ✓ HEDIS not generally used until later years when the ACO comparisons were needed



#### ACCESS' tracking evolution

	2011	Quality Indicator (Benchmark)	Reporting Entity		251 patien ts		32 patient s	4 patie nts	s	patien			159 patients	34 patient	19 patien			45 patients	patient	4 patien ts
Diabetes				How to Measure	AFC Total	Anderson Clinic %	J	L	Cassville Clinic	Α	D	W	Joplin Clinic %	В	Н	P	R	R	Ozark Ctr Clinic %	S
	HbA1c < 7.0	> 40%	MPCA	age 18-75 DM I or II	50	33		25	50	54	46	25	47	53	63	35	57	42	75	75
	HbA1c <u>&lt;</u> 9	> 77%	HC Plan	-	82	58	56	75	85	86	69	75	77	80	79	76	76	75	100	75
	BP <130/80	> 25%	MPCA	_	24	14	. 3	67	15	26	0	0	25	25	13	24	50	16	100	67
	LDL <100	> 36%	MPCA	_	43.2	50	46	50	46	44	44	100	42	46	44	34	57	36	33	33
	Annual Dialated Eye Exam	> 60%	MPCA	_	14.7	8	$\epsilon$	25	20	18	39	0	11	15	11	13	24	11	75	25
	Annual Comprehensive Foot Exam	> 80%	MPCA		96.8	94	94	100	100	100	100	100	96	97	100	100	86	98	100	100

2014			Sta	ate Av	verage			AFO	C Avera	ge		And	erson	Clinic			Cass	sville (	Clinic			Joplii	n Clin	ic			Jopli	n PEC	os			Mt. Ver	non Cli	nic			Neosi	ho Clin	ic		
Monthly by Site Supervisor Monthly by EHR IT Tech Quarterly by Site Supervisor Quarterly by EHR IT Tech	How to Measure	<u>=</u>	State Tota	I Tota 2nd	al Tota	e State al Total I 4th · Qtr	Reporting Entity	Total 1st	AFC Total 2nd Qtr	Total 3rd	AFC Total 4th Qtr	Anderson Clinic %		2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr			2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr			1st	2nd :			PEDS Clinic %			3rd Qtr		Mt. Vernon Clinic % 50 51	t 2no r Qtr	d 3rd	4ti Qt		Neosho Clinic %	st 2no	d 3r r Q1	rd 4th tr Qtr	
HbA1c < 7.0 (Controlled)	Lab result	> 40%					MPCA	47	48	49	47	45	41	47	47	45	43	40	) 43	43	44	48	50		47	44	88			100					58		64			49	
Adult HbA1c >9.0	Lab results	<u>&lt;</u> 16%								24	25	24			24	24	21			21	20	34			33	34					n/a	14			11	16	32			33	31
BP <130/80		> 25%					MPCA	42	35	35	38	30	35	30	) 28	28	44	50	40	43	43	38	41	34	37	36	50	n/a	n/a	n/a	50	39			33	45	38	40	37	36	38
BP <140/90		>65%					MPCA		72	77	76	70		70	71	. 69	77		75	78	78	71		71	72	71			100	100	100	88			91	84	76		72	75	80
LDL <100	w/in 1yr	> 36%					MPCA	43	42	41	44	39	35	38	3 40	43	43	45	5 45	42	40	40	43	38	39	39	100	n/a	n/a	n/a	ı 50	40			33	46	49	46	47	52	52
Annual Dilated Eye Exam	w/in yr	> 60%					MPCA	61	62	52	48	36	32	49	34	29	61	. 67	7 72	57	56	59	66	65	55	50	50	n/a	n/a	n/a	50	58			64	52	55	54	60	52	52



#### Data population management

- ✓2012 2013 2016 Present: ACCESS transformed all clinics (4 at the time) into Patient Centered Medical Homes (PCMH)
  - 2012 ACCESS set out to become recognized as a PCMH by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA)
  - 2013 All 4 clinics received 95% or greater scores of achievement in meeting NCQA standards' highest level, Level 3
  - Part of this transformation included quality data reporting of key health outcome indicators as our measures of quality to Missouri Medicaid
  - 2016 4 out of the now 6 clinics were re-recognized Level 3, again with ≥ 95% scores.
  - ACCESS continues this participation, which also affords ACCESS to employ RN Care Mangers and a dedicated Behavioral Health Consultant to assist in chronic disease management improvements
  - 2017: ACCESS' newest clinics are undergoing recognition attestation
- ✓ 2012 Present: Meaningful Use (MU) endeavors for goal achievement = Incentive payment
  - As support staff were concentrating on PCMH standards and improving the health outcomes of our patients, IT was also pushing to meet software utilization
  - Stages were aggressive and often required customization, even though the EHR was MU "certified"



#### MO Medicaid quality tracking for participating FQHCs

Measures	Hypertension Control <u>ling</u> High Blood Pressure (NOF0018)	the data Chid@eleths.creening/BMI (NOF0024)	utritional Counseling	ng / Physical Activity (	Care Coordination (M	ting FQHC	s in Healt	ine and Follow In (MP	iative (HH	Use of Appropriate Medical design Asthma Ages 5-11 (NOF 0036	Lipinos of Appropriate Medications of Asthma Ages 12-18 (NQF 0036 modified)	Use of Appropriate Medications Of Special Of Note of Appropriate Medications Of Special Of Note 1036 Note	ts SM SM Use of Appropriate Medication Asthma Ages 51-64 (NQF 0036	not indicated (NOF 0059)	D Diabetes A1c < 8 (NOF 0059 modified)	Diabetes BP < 140/90 (NQF 0059 modified)	Diabetes LDL Management - LDL < 100(NQF 0064)	Screening for Clinical Depression and Follow-Up Plan (NQF 0418)	BMI Screening and Follow-Up >= 65 Years (NQF 042.1)	BMI Screening and Follow-Up 18 - 64 Years (NQF 0421)
Final Goal January 2017	75%	85%	S 85%	85%	80%	5%	6 15%	6 85%	5 <b>70</b> %	% <b>7</b> 5%	<b>75</b> %	5 <b>75</b> %	5 <b>7</b> 5%	5 <b>20</b> %	70%	5 <b>7</b> 5%	45%	85%	85%	85%
May 2016 Montly Target Goal	63%	48%	53%	50%	65%	6%	<b>6</b> 199	6 50%	40%	<b>60%</b>	60%	65%	5 71%	5 29%	62%	65%	33%	50%	67%	54%
	66%																		93%	
Access Family Care	46%	95%					6 69 6 49											68% 57%	55%	86%
A	46%	95%	44%	39%	18%	1%	47	56%	87	<b>6</b> 99%	97%	95%	84%	38%	50%	52%	15%	5/%	55%	45%
С	66%	91%	71%	60%	18%	16%	89	6 1%	229	93%	89%	96%	83%	29%	60%	76%	41%	51%	74%	63%
F	60%	98%	87%	93%	0%	1%	39	6 21%	119	<mark>6</mark> 0%	0%	0%	0%	38%	54%	66%	27%	44%	96%	95%
J	57%	91%	69%	63%	67%	9%	69	<mark>6 19%</mark>	69	<mark>6 100%</mark>	90%	93%	96%	35%	51%	62%	26%	74%	65%	52%
м	57%	98%	6%	1%	36%	9%	119	6 10%	149	<mark>6</mark> 75%	80%	83%	92%	42%	50%	65%	34%	21%	61%	30%
Мо	51%	90%	25%	19%	47%	4%	6 119	6 13%	89	<mark>6</mark> 100%	100%	80%	100%	40%	50%	57%	32%	64%	67%	49%
Му	46%	86%	66%	70%	52%	17%	15%	6 17%	129	<mark>%</mark> 91%	91%	88%	84%	45%	46%	49%	31%	28%	56%	40%
N	63%	97%	52%	56%	81%	6%	119	6 7%	169	<b>72</b> %	77%	63%	81%	36%	53%	67%	39%	42%	75%	64%
s	60%	97%	26%	58%	86%	2%	49	6 24%	229	<mark>%</mark> 75%	<b>75</b> %	75%	100%	22%	63%	64%	51%	47%	90%	81%



#### ACPI:

#### ACCESS Comprehensive PCMH Integration

#### Project Objectives: (2015 - 2017)

- Diabetic patients will have a HbA1c<9, indicating good control
- ER/Hospitalizations timely follow-up with patients
- Diabetics' annual Eye Exams to prevent or early detection of blindness
- Integration of all ACCESS patients for Oral Health Care at ACCESS sites
- Community Resource Coordinator (CRC) & Health Information Management (HIM) development to centralize & standardize processes
- Internal staff education related to the ACPI project



## Diabetes Health outcome HbA1c Grant Cycle 1<sup>st</sup> 12 months

Objective: decrease HbA1c>9 and untested ≤ 18% (MPCA) ≤ 16% (HP2020)		BASELINE RCHN Grant initiation 2015: 42% After fixing mapping & reran: 38%	
HHI = MO Mcd Health Home Initiative pop. Of diabetics	AO = All Other patients in Joplin		
HHI Joplin	AO Joplin	HHI across ACCESS	AO across ACCESS
22%	32%	21%	29%  (PCMH C-SPA all pts minus HHI enrolled pts = all
(PCMH C-SPA HHI enrolled pts = Joplin only)	(PCMH C-SPA all pts minus HHI enrolled pts = Joplin, only)	(PCMH C-SPA HHI enrolled pts = all locations)	locations)
Objective: increase eye exams ≤ 18% (MPCA) ≤ 16% (HP2020)		BASELINE RCHN Grant initiation 2015: 42% After fixing mapping & reran: 38%	



#### Diabetic patients HbA1c<9, indicating good control

- Diabetic patients will have a HbA1c<9, indicating good control</li>
- Goal: Decrease HbA1c >9 and untested ≤18% (MPCA) or ≤16% (HP2020)
- By the end of the first project year, ACCESS made positive health outcome improvements in the high risk population of diabetic patients.
- The Medicaid Health Home Initiative (HHI) subpopulation ended with the lowest percent of patients with HbA1c>9 at 21%
- All other (AO) patients showed the most improvement, moving from HbA1c>9 baseline of 38% down to 26.7%.
- Since Missouri Primary Care Association (MPCA) interim goal is 18% and HP2020 is 16%, ACCESS continued their pursuit of reaching the 16% goal.



#### Multi-front approach accomplished improvements

- Evidence-based guidelines
- Standing orders
- Tracking: Hospital Utilization (IP & ER), Referrals for Diagnostics & Specialists
- Morning Huddle preparation
- Greater utilization of team-based care
- Partnership with area hospitals to enroll diabetic patient
- Continuous staff education
- Monthly QI/QA includes all clinic managers and other departments involved in Board Approved Improvement Plan (Clinical measures, Financial targets, HR goals, Patient Satisfaction)
- Patients assigned to Care manager and care teams
- Improve Transitions of Care timeliness and communications while decreasing hospital utilizations for primary care needs
- Increase Integrative services (Dental, Pharmacy, Vision,)

